



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/727,513

12/05/2003

Nobuaki Ogawa

P24662

7838

7055 7590 04/23/2008  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE  
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER

HAMO, PATRICK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3746

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/23/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com  
pto@gbpatent.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/727,513	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OGAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PATRICK HAMO	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PATRICK HAMO.

(3) ENOCH PEAVEY.

(2) DEVON KRAMER.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kurihara et al., 7,083,399; Saito et al., 2002/0039532; Makino et al., 6,808,372.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that a limitation that made it more explicit that an end surface of the inverter case was connected to an end wall of said housing, wherein the end surface defines at least part of said intake passage would patentably distinguish over the prior art discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Devon Kramer/  
Devon Kramer, SPE AU 3746

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required